JRPP No:	2009STH007
DA No:	DA09/2077
PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:	Non-putrescible Waste facility (Landfill)
APPLICANT:	Watkinson Apperley Pty Ltd
REPORT BY:	Stephen McDiarmid, Senior Development Planner, Shoalhaven City Council

ASSESSMENT REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

REGIONAL APPLICATION No: RA09/1002 (DA09/2077)

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT: Non-Putrescible Waste Facility

proposing to initially receive 50,000 tonnes of waste per year which will

incrementally increase to approximately 100,000

tonnes per year over an (8) eight year

period.

APPLICANT: Watkinson Apperley Pty. Ltd.

REPORT BY: Stephen McDiarmid, Senior Development

Planner, on behalf of Shoalhaven City

Council.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	RECOMMENDATION	1
1.1	Executive Summary	2
2	BACKGROUND	4
3	SITE CONTEXT	5
4	PROPOSAL	6
5		8
6		8
7.	S79C(1)(a) – ANY PLANNING INSTRUMENT, DRAFT INSTRUMENT, DCPS AND REGULATIONS THAT APPLY TO THE LAND:-	
7.1	Designated Development	8
	Integrated Development	9
	Regional Development	9
		9
	Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (EPA Regs) 9	
	State Environmental Planning Policy No. 33 (SEPP 33) – Hazardous and Offensive Development	10
77	Jervis Bay Regional Environmental Plan 1996 (JBREP)	13
	Protection of the Environment & Operations Act 1997 (Environmental Protection Licence (EPL) from DECCW)	1.
7 Q		16
		16
		16
		17
		19
		20
		20
	Draft Shoalhaven Local Environmental Plan 2009 (DSLEP)	2:
		2
		2
	Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995 (TSA)	23
	State Environmental Planning Policy (Infrastructure) 2010 (Clause 123)	23
8.	S79C(1)(a)(iii) – THE PROVISIONS OF ANY DEVELOPMENT CONTROL PLAN (DCP):-	
8.1	Development Control Plan 18 – Carparking Code (DCP 18)	25
8.2	Development Control Plan 93 – Controls for Waste Minimisation and	
	Management (DCP 93)25	
9.	S79C(1)(b) – LIKELY IMPACT OF THAT DEVELOPMENT ON THE NATURAL AND BUILT ENVIRONMENT AND SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACTS IN THE LOCALITY:-	
9.1.	Traffic	25
	Noise	30
	Flora and Fauna	35
	Potential Contamination of Ground and Surface Waters	38
	Sterille Solitation of Ground and Surface Waters	J

9.5	Dust
9.6	Economic Impacts
9.7	Social Impacts
LO. S	79C(1)(c) – SUITABILITY OF THE SITE48
l1. S	79C(1)(d) – ANY SUBMISSIONS MADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ACT OR THE REGULATIONS:-
1.1	Excessive increase in traffic from trucks associated with the existing
	Quarry and the Proposed Waste Facility and the detrimental impact this
	Intensification will have on the existing local road48
11.2	Potential of detrimental impact to Flora and Fauna49
l1.3	Increased levels of noise which will impact on the existing health and
	amenity of those living in close proximity to the subject site49
11.4	Potential for disposed toxic materials to leach and contaminate nearby
	waterways which flow into the Jervis Bay Marine Park and St Georges Basin50
L1.5D	evaluation of properties in close proximity to the subject site50
11.6	The EIS did not demonstrate provision of all the Director General's Requirements (DGR's)
11.7	Detrimental Impact on Tourism
	Screening of non-putrescible material prior to on-site disposal
11.9	Concerns for children's, cyclist's and pedestrian safety as a result of the
	increased frequency of truck movements to and from the site53
11.10	Potential for airborne particles from the proposed Waste Facility to
	affect nearby residents and the surrounding environment53
	0
12. S	79C(1)(e) – THE PUBLIC INTEREST53
13. O	THER ISSUES:-
	13.1Owner's Consent
	13.2
	13.3
14. R	EFERRALS:-
	14.1Internal Referrals
	14.2 External Referrals
15. C	ONCLUSION56
16 5	FCOMMATNIDATION 57
16. K	ECOMMENDATION57